

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

JOAQUÍN CARCAÑO *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROY A. COOPER, III *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Defendants

CASE NO. 1:16-CV-00236-TDS-JEP

**JOINT STATUS REPORT**

Pursuant to the letter submitted to the Court on behalf of all parties on April 4, 2017, the parties hereby submit this joint status report setting forth their positions regarding how the above-captioned matter should proceed in light of the enactment of H.B. 142.

A. Plaintiffs' Position: Plaintiffs intend to file a Fourth Amended Complaint asserting federal constitutional and statutory claims against H.B. 142, whether upon the consent of the parties or with leave of the Court. Although H.B. 142 purports to “repeal” H.B. 2, in actuality H.B. 142 perpetuates many of H.B. 2’s harms, as well as H.B. 2’s stigmatization of transgender individuals and those who are lesbian, gay, or bisexual (collectively “LGBT” people).

H.B. 142 discriminates against transgender individuals in exercising one of life’s most basic and essential functions: using the restroom. Under Section 2 of H.B. 142, state

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Governor Roy A. Cooper, in his official capacity as Governor of North Carolina, is automatically substituted for former Governor Patrick L. McCrory as a party in this action.

agencies and local governments are forbidden from establishing—and transgender individuals are barred from obtaining the protection of—policies ensuring the right of transgender individuals to use the restroom or other single-sex, multi-user facilities consistent with their gender identity. Further, until December 2020, Sections 3 and 4 of H.B. 142 block local governments from protecting LGBT people against discrimination in employment and public accommodations. By targeting all LGBT people for disfavored treatment and singling out transgender individuals for additional discrimination, H.B. 142 violates the most basic guarantees of equal treatment and due process under the U.S. Constitution.

The Governor has indicated that “there is no state law barring the use of multiple occupancy bathroom facilities in accordance with gender identity,”<sup>2</sup> while other state entities charged with enforcing the law, including UNC, have not offered any view on whether transgender individuals may use multiple occupancy bathroom facilities consistent with their gender identity.

With respect to the existing preliminary injunction, which applies by its terms only to Part I of H.B. 2, because H.B. 2 (including Part I) has been repealed, the preliminary injunction no longer has any force or effect, even though it remains in place. Accordingly, the preliminary injunction can be lifted.

With respect to the existing stay of district court proceedings, once a Fourth Amended Complaint is filed, the stay should be lifted. The Fourth Circuit appeal in the

---

<sup>2</sup> Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal at 3, *Carcaño v. Cooper*, No. 16-1989 (4th Cir. Apr. 20, 2017), ECF 114.

*Carcaño* case has been dismissed, and the Fourth Circuit remand from the Supreme Court in the *G.G.* case will not be heard until September at the earliest, and may not be decided until 2018. This case should not be further stayed, especially given the lengthy stays to which it already has been subject and the ongoing harms to the Plaintiffs and others imposed by H.B. 2 and now H.B. 142.

B. UNC Defendants' Position: H.B. 2, the North Carolina law challenged in this lawsuit, was repealed in its entirety on March 30, 2017, by North Carolina Session Law 2017-4 or H.B. 142. *See* "Act to Reset S.L. 2016-3," S.L. 2017-4, § 1 (Mar. 30, 2017). We understand that Plaintiffs may seek leave to file an Amended Complaint, but have not yet sought consent to do so. Unless and until an Amended Complaint is filed, it is premature for the UNC Defendants to take a position regarding what impact that Complaint may have on these proceedings and the merits of any potential additional claims that may be brought by Plaintiffs. The UNC Defendants will determine what steps to take, if any, after the new Amended Complaint is filed. The UNC Defendants also respectfully request the Court to wait to act on the existing stay until the new Amended Complaint is filed and a proper motion to lift the stay is made. It would be premature for the parties to take a position on the stay, or for the Court to act on it, without seeing the contents of the new Complaint.

C. Legislative Defendants' Position: The North Carolina law challenged in this lawsuit, S.L. 2016-3 or H.B. 2, was repealed in its entirety on March 30, 2017, by North Carolina Session Law 2017-4 or H.B. 142. *See* "Act to Reset S.L. 2016-3," S.L. 2017-4, § 1 (Mar. 30, 2017). Notwithstanding H.B.2's repeal, Plaintiffs have indicated that they plan to file a Fourth Amended Complaint challenging new provisions in H.B. 142. Until that

amended complaint is filed and the Legislative Defendants have had an opportunity to review it, they can take no position on what effect, if any, the amended complaint should have on these proceedings, including whether the existing stay should be lifted. The Legislative Defendants therefore do not agree that the existing stay should be lifted upon the filing of the Fourth Amended Complaint.

The Legislative Defendants do agree with Plaintiffs that the existing preliminary injunction can be lifted. That injunction applied only to Part I of H.B.2, *see* Doc. 127 at 81-82, which has now been repealed.

It is the Legislative Defendants' position that, if and when a Fourth Amended Complaint is filed, the parties should confer within two weeks of the filing and then submit an updated status report to the Court within one week of the parties' conference.

D. Governor Roy Cooper's Position: The enactment of H.B. 142 (N.C. Session Law 2017-4) by the North Carolina General Assembly has repealed Part I of the previously enacted H.B. 2, (N.C. Session Laws 2016-3), which was the main focus of the litigation in this matter. In light of this repeal, Governor Cooper agrees with Plaintiffs that the preliminary injunction entered by this Court, to suspend application of Part I of H.B. 2, should be lifted. Following the enactment of H.B. 142, the Fourth Circuit appeal in the *Carcaño* matter has been dismissed upon stipulation of all the parties to the appeal, [Fourth Circuit Case No. 16-1989, DE114-1], and the mandate to this Court has issued accordingly. [Fourth Circuit Case No. 16-1989, DE 116].

Plaintiffs have indicated their intent to amend their Third Amended Complaint in light of the enactment of H.B. 142. However, a draft of the proposed Fourth Amended

Complaint has not yet been shared with defendants. As a result, Governor Cooper is not currently aware of the scope of the prospective litigation, including the identity of the prospective parties and the nature of the amended claims. Without waiving any applicable rights or defenses, Governor Cooper anticipates that he may be in position to consent to Plaintiffs' filing of a Fourth Amended Complaint, and intends to proceed as appropriate thereafter depending on the nature of the allegations. It is therefore suggested that within two weeks of Plaintiffs' filing of the Fourth Amended Complaint, the parties should submit to this Court a joint supplemental status report reflecting their respective positions as to the revised litigation parameters.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher A. Brook

Christopher A. Brook (NC Bar No.  
33838)  
Irena Como\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF  
NORTH CAROLINA LEGAL  
FOUNDATION  
Post Office Box 28004  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611  
Telephone: (919) 834-3466  
Facsimile: (866) 511-1344  
cbrook@acluofnc.org

James D. Esseks\*  
Leslie Cooper\*  
Elizabeth O. Gill\*  
Chase B. Strangio\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
125 Broad St., 18th Fl.  
New York, NY 10004  
Telephone: (212) 549-2627  
Facsimile: (212) 549-2650  
jesseks@aclu.org  
lcooper@aclu.org  
egill@aclunc.org  
cstrangio@aclu.org

Jon W. Davidson\*  
Tara L. Borelli\*  
Peter C. Renn\*  
Kyle A. Palazzolo\*  
LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE AND  
EDUCATION FUND, INC.  
730 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 1070  
Atlanta, GA 30308-1210  
Telephone: (404) 897-1880  
Facsimile: (404) 897-1884  
tborelli@lambdalegal.org  
prenn@lambdalegal.org  
kpalazzolo@lambdalegal.org

Scott B. Wilkens\*  
Luke C. Platzer\*  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
1099 New York Avenue, NW Suite 900  
Washington, D.C. 20001-4412  
Telephone: (202) 639-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 639-6066  
swilkens@jenner.com  
lplatzer@jenner.com

\* Appearing by special appearance  
pursuant to L.R. 83.1(d).

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

/s/ Thomas C. Shanahan  
Thomas C. Shanahan (NC Bar No. 42381)  
Carolyn C. Pratt (NC Bar No. 38438)  
THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA  
P.O. Box 2688  
Chapel Hill, NC 27515  
Telephone: (919) 962-3406  
Facsimile: (919) 962-0477  
ccpratt@northcarolina.edu  
tcshanahan@northcarolina.edu

/s/ Glen D. Nager  
Glen D. Nager\*  
Kristen A. Lejnieks\*  
JONES DAY  
51 Louisiana Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: (202) 879-3939  
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700  
gdnager@jonesday.com  
klejnieks@jonesday.com

\* Appearing by special appearance  
pursuant to L.R. 83.1(d)

*Counsel for Defendants the University of North Carolina and  
Margaret Spellings, in her Official Capacity as President of  
the University of North Carolina*

/s/ S. Kyle Duncan  
S. Kyle Duncan\* (DC Bar No. 1010452)  
Gene C. Schaerr\* (DC Bar No. 416638)  
Stephen S. Schwartz\* (DC Bar No.  
477947)  
SCHAERR | DUNCAN LLP  
1717 K Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 714-9492  
Facsimile: (571) 730-4429  
kduncan@schaerr-duncan.com  
gschaerr@schaerr-duncan.com  
sschwartz@schaerr-duncan.com

/s/ Robert D. Potter, Jr.  
Robert D. Potter, Jr. (NC Bar No. 17553)  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
2820 Selwyn Avenue, #840  
Charlotte, NC 28209  
Telephone : (704) 552-7742  
rdpotter@rdpotterlaw.com

\* Appearing by special appearance  
pursuant to L.R. 83.1(d)

*Counsel for Intervenor-Defendants Phil Berger and Tim  
Moore in their Official Capacities*

Josh Stein  
ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

/s/ Amar Majmundar  
Amar Majmundar (NC Bar No. 24668)

/s/ Olga E. Vysotskaya de Brito  
Ms. Olga E. Vysotskaya de Brito (NC Bar  
No. 31846)  
SPECIAL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL  
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF  
JUSTICE

SPECIAL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL  
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF  
JUSTICE

Post Office Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Telephone: (919) 716-6821  
Facsimile: (919) 716-6759  
amajmundar@ncdoj.gov

Post Office Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Telephone: (919) 716-0185  
Facsimile: (919) 716-6759  
ovysotskaya@ncdoj.gov

*Counsel for Defendant Roy A. Cooper, III in his Official  
Capacity as Governor of North Carolina*



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all CM/ECF participating attorneys.

This the 28th day of April, 2017.

/s/ Christopher A. Brook

Christopher A. Brook (NC Bar No. 33838)

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOR

NORTH CAROLINA LEGAL FOUNDATION

Post Office Box 28004

Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Telephone: (919) 834-3466

Facsimile: (866) 511-1344

[cbrook@acluofnc.org](mailto:cbrook@acluofnc.org)